arXiv:1609.04296v1 [math.DS] 14 Sep 2016

LIPSCHITZ INVARIANCE OF WALK DIMENSION ON CONNECTED SELF-SIMILAR SETS

QINGSONG GU AND HUI RAO

Abstract. Walk dimension is an important conception in analysis of fractals. In this paper we prove that the walk dimension of a connected compact set possessing an Alfors regular measure is an invariant under Lipschitz transforms. As an application, we show some generalized Sierpiński gaskets are not Lipschitz equivalent.

Contents

1. **Introduction** 1
2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1** 5
3. **Computation of walk dimension** 6
3.1. Dirichlet form 7
3.2. Heat kernel estimates 7
References 8

1. Introduction

Let (X, d_1) and (Y, d_2) be two metric spaces. We say that $T : (X, d_1) \to (Y, d_2)$ is a bi-Lipschitz transform, if T is a bijection, and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in X$,

$$C^{-1} d_1(x, y) \le d_2(Tx, Ty) \le C d_1(x, y). \tag{1.1}$$

The studies of Lipschitz equivalence of self-similar sets are initialled by Falconer and Marsh [3] and David and Semmes [2]. Rao, Ruan and Xi [12] (2006) answered a question posed by David and Semmes [2], by showing that the self-similar sets illustrated in Figure 1 are Lipschitz equivalence. After that, there are many works devoted to this topic, for example, Xi and Xiong [19, 20], Luo and Lau [9], Ruan, Wang and Xi [16], and Rao and Zhang [13]. However, the studies mentioned above are all on self-similar sets which are totally disconnected.



Figure 1. The Cantor sets E and F are Lipschitz equivalence [12].

Date: September 15, 2016.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35K08 Secondary: 28A80, 35J08, 46E35, 47D07.
Key words and phrases. walk dimension, Lipschitz invariant, Besov space, heat kernel.
The second author is supported by NSFC Nos. 11431007 and 11471075.

Recently, there are some studies on a class of self-similar sets which are not totally connected. A non-empty compact set satisfying the set equation

$$F = \bigcup_{d\in\mathcal{D}} \frac{F+d}{n}$$

is called a *fractal square* if $n \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{D} \subset \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^2$. Rao and Zhu [14] studied fractal squares containing line segments. Using a certain finite state automaton, they construct a bi-Lipschitz mapping between the fractals illustrated in Figure 2. Ruan and Wang [15] studied fractal squares of ratio $1/3$ and with 7 or 8 branches, which are all connected fractals. They proved that two such sets are Lipschitz equivalent if and only if they are isometric. See Figure 3. Their method is to show that two such sets are not homeomorphic by various connectivity property, which **depends** on very careful observations.



(a) (b)

(c) (d)

FIGURE 2. Two fractal squares containing line segments.

The study of the topological properties of connected self-similar set is a very hard problem. By Whyburn [18], the two fractal squares in Figure 4 are homeomorphic. It is generally believed that these two fractal squares are not Lipschitz equivalent [11]. To show two sets are not Lipschitz equivalent, the main method is to construct a certain Lipschitz invariant to distinct them, which is the **motivation** of the present paper.

In this paper, we use the theory of Laplacian on fractals to construct Lipschitz invariant. We show that the critical exponent β^* defined in Grigor'yan, Hu and Lau [5, Definition 4.4] is an Lipschitz invariant. It is shown that [5] this critical value coincides with the *walk dimension* under a mild assumption. (See also Theorem 3.1.) Due to the difficulty of computing, the walk dimension can be obtained for few self-similar sets. Nevertheless, we hope our study may shed some light to the study of Lipschitz equivalence of connected fractals.

In the following, we give a precise description of our result. Let (M, d) be a locally compact, separable metric space, let μ be a Radon measure on M with full support. We call (M, d, μ) a *metric measure space.* Set

$$V(x, r) := \mu(B(x, r))$$



(a) (b) (c)

(d) (e) (f)

FIGURE 3. Up to isometries, there are three fractal squares with 8 branches. All of them are not Lipschitz equivalent.



(a) (b)

(c) (d)

FIGURE 4. It is conjectured [15] that the above two fractal squares are not Lipschitz equivalent.

to be the volume of the ball $B(x,r)$.

Let (M,d,μ) be a metric measure space. Let $C(M)$ be the continuous function space. For any $1 \le p \le \infty$, let $L^p(M,\mu)$ be the Lebesgue function space, and set

$$\|u\|_p = \|u\|_{L^p(M,\mu)}.$$

For any $\sigma > 0$, define the functional $W_{\sigma,M}(u)$ on measurable functions on M by

$$W_{\sigma,M}(u) := \sup_{0<r<1} r^{-2\sigma} \int_M \left[\frac{1}{V(x,r)} \int_{B(x,r)} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 d\mu(y) \right] d\mu(x). \tag{1.2}$$

Define the space $W^{\sigma,2}$ as follows,

$$W^{\sigma,2} = W^{\sigma,2}(M,d,\mu) := \{u \in L^2 : W_{\sigma,M}(u) < \infty\}.$$

Thus $W^{\sigma,2}$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\sigma,2} := \|u\|_2 + W_\sigma(u)^{1/2},$$

and it is one of the family of *Besov spaces*, see [5, Section 4]. Set

$$\beta^* := 2\sup\{\sigma : W^{\sigma,2} \text{ contains non-constant functions }\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Following [5], we call β^* the *critical exponent* of the family $W^{\sigma,2}$ of the Besov spaces in (M,d,μ). Note that the critical exponent β^* is uniquely determined by (M,d,μ).

Let F be a closed set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. A Borel measure μ on F is said to be *Alfors regular*, if there exists $0 < \alpha \le n$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that for any Euclidean metric ball $B(x,r)$ with $0 < r < 1$,

$$C^{-1} r^\alpha \le \mu(B(x,r)) \le C r^\alpha. \tag{1.4}$$

It is known([6]) that such μ is equivalent to the $\alpha-$dimensional Hausdorff measure on F. The set F is called an $\alpha-$set if it admits an Alfors regular measure. From now on, we shall always use d to denote the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and use μ to denote the α-dimensional Hausdorff measure. (Actually, when we write (F,d,μ), we mean that μ is the restriction of the α-dimensional Hausdorff measure on F.)

Theorem 1.1. *Let F be an $\alpha-$set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $T : F \to T(F)$ be a bi-Lipschitz transform. Denote by β_1^* and β_2^* the critical exponents of (F,d,μ) and $(T(F),d,\mu)$, respectively. Then we have*

$$\beta_1^* = \beta_2^*. \tag{1.5}$$

Example 1.2. Let $\left\{K_1, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^3\right\}$ be the Sierpiński gasket, $\left\{K_2, \{G_j\}_{j=1}^{27}\right\}$ be a generalized gasket**(rotation free)**, where the IFS are showing by Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively.



FIGURE 5. IFS of K_1



FIGURE 6. IFS of K_2

We use the IFS of K_1 and K_2 in the above to construct some other self-similar sets. Let K_3 and K_4 be the self-similar set generated by the IFS

$$\{G_i \circ F_j\}_{1\le i\le 3, 1\le j\le 27} \quad \text{and} \quad \{F_j \circ G_i\}_{1\le j\le 27, 1\le i\le 3},$$

respectively. See Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. It is clear that the Hausdorff dimensions of these sets are all equal to $\alpha = \log 3/\log 2$.



FIGURE 7. IFS of K_3



FIGURE 8. IFS of K_4

Using Theorem 1.1, we shall show that any two of K_1, K_2, K_3 and K_4 are not Lipschitz equivalent, except the pair K_3 and K_4.

Actually K_3 and K_4 are not homeomorphic by a connectedness argument. Indeed, we can remove six points on K_3 to obtain six connected components, while it is impossible on K_4.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we proof Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we discuss how to calculate β^* by using Dirichlet **forms**.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

First, we give two lemmas. Recall that μ **denotes** the α-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that all the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 hold. Then for any $1 \le p \le \infty$, there exists a constant λ_p only depending on F, T and p such that for any measurable function v on F,*

$$\|v \circ T^{-1}\|_{L^p(T(F))} \le \lambda_p \|v\|_{L^p(F)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. It is well known that for any Borel set $A \subseteq F$,

$$C^{-1}\mu(A) \le \mu(T(A)) \le C\mu(A). \tag{2.7}$$

(See for example, [4].) Therefore, an approximation argument by using simple functions leads to (2.6). □

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that all the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 hold. Then for any $\sigma > 0$, there exist constants $C, C' > 0$ only depending on F, T and σ such that for any $0 < r < 1$ and any measurable function u on F,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{T(F)} \left[\int_{B(x,r)} |u \circ T^{-1}(x) - u \circ T^{-1}(y)|^2 d\mu(y) \right] d\mu(x) \\ \le & C' \int_F \left[\int_{B(x,Cr)} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 d\mu(y) \right] d\mu(x).\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Fix $x \in T(F)$, then by using the fact that T is Lipschitz, there exists $C > 0$ which is independent of x such that

$$T^{-1}(B(x,r)) \subseteq B(T^{-1}x, Cr).$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 **with** $p = 2$ **to**

$$v \circ T^{-1}(y) = |u \circ T^{-1}(x) - u \circ T^{-1}(y)| \cdot 1_{B(x,r)}(y),$$

we obtain

$$\int_{B(x,r)} |u \circ T^{-1}(x) - u \circ T^{-1}(y)|^2 d\mu(y)$$

$$\leq \lambda_2 \int_{T^{-1}(B(x,r))} |u\circ T^{-1}(x) - u(z)|^2 d\mu(z)$$
$$\leq \lambda_2 \int_{B(T^{-1}x,Cr)} |u\circ T^{-1}(x) - u(z)|^2 d\mu(z). \tag{2.9}$$

Thus by integrating on x over $T(F)$, we get

$$\int_{T(F)} \left[\int_{B(x,r)} |u\circ T^{-1}(x) - u\circ T^{-1}(y)|^2 d\mu(y)\right] d\mu(x)$$
$$\leq \lambda_2 \int_{T(F)} \left[\int_{B(T^{-1}x,Cr)} |u\circ T^{-1}(x) - u(z)|^2 d\mu(z)\right] d\mu(x). \tag{2.10}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 again **with** $p = 1$ **to**

$$v\circ T^{-1}(x) = \int_{B(T^{-1}(x),Cr)} |u\circ T^{-1}(x) - u(z)|^2 d\mu(z),$$

we have

$$\int_{T(F)} \left[\int_{B(T^{-1}x,Cr)} |u\circ T^{-1}(x) - u(z)|^2 d\mu(z)\right] d\mu(x)$$
$$\leq \lambda_1 \int_F \left[\int_{B(x,Cr)} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 d\mu(y)\right] d\mu(x). \tag{2.11}$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.11), we have (2.8) with $C' = \lambda_1\lambda_2$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only need to show that $\beta_1^* \leq \beta_2^*$. For any $\sigma < \beta_1^*$, we can find a non-constant function $u \in W^{\sigma,2}(F,d,\mu)$, thus $\|u\|_{L^2(F)} < \infty$ and $W_{\sigma,F}(u) < \infty$.

Firstly, by Lemma 2.1, we have $u\circ T^{-1} \in L^2(T(F))$. Secondly, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$W_{\sigma,T(F)}(u\circ T^{-1}) \leq \sup_{0<r<1} C' r^{-2\sigma-\alpha} \int_{T(F)} \left[\int_{B(x,r)} |u\circ T^{-1}(x) - u\circ T^{-1}(y)|^2 d\mu(y)\right] d\mu(x)$$
$$\leq C' \sup_{0<r<1} r^{-2\sigma-\alpha} \int_F \left[\int_{B(x,Cr)} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 d\mu(y)\right] d\mu(x)$$
$$\leq C' \sup_{0<r<C} r^{-2\sigma-\alpha} \int_F \left[\int_{B(x,r)} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 d\mu(y)\right] d\mu(x)$$
$$\leq C' \left\{\sup_{0<r<1} + \sup_{1\leq r<C}\right\} r^{-2\sigma-\alpha} \int_F \left[\int_{B(x,r)} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 d\mu(y)\right] d\mu(x)$$
$$\leq C' W_{\sigma,F}(u) + 2C' \int_F |u(x)|^2 \sup_{x\in F} V(x,C)$$
$$\leq C' W_{\sigma,F}(u) + C' C^\alpha \|u\|_{L^2(F)} < \infty.$$

Therefore $u\circ T^{-1}$ is a non-constant function in $W^{\sigma,2}(T(F),d,\mu)$, which implies that $\sigma < \beta_2^*$. Since $\sigma < \beta_1^*$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\beta_1^* \leq \beta_2^*$. □

3. Computation of walk dimension

In this section, we are concerned with how to calculate β^* of some self-similar sets.

Notation. The sign $f \asymp g$ means that there exists constant $c > 0$ such that $c^{-1} f \leq g \leq c f$. The letters C, C_i, C', C_i' etc. denote constants whose values are not important and may change from line to line.

The following result shows that under a mild condition, β^* coincides with the walk dimension and hence, we can use the techniques in analysis on fractals to calculate β^*.

Theorem 3.1. *(*[5, Theorem 4.6]*) If there exists a heat kernel p_t on (M, d, μ) satisfying a sufficient decay condition: ($0 < t < t_0$, Φ is some nonnegative decreasing function on $[0, +\infty)$)*

$$p_t(x, y) \asymp \frac{C}{t^{\alpha/\beta}} \Phi\left(c \frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1/\beta}}\right). \tag{3.12}$$

$$\int_0^\infty s^{\alpha+\beta+\varepsilon} \Phi(s) \frac{ds}{s} < \infty. \tag{3.13}$$

Then $\beta = \beta^*$. (The number β satisfying (3.12) *and* (3.13) *is called the walk dimension of M.)*

In the following, we use the self-similar sets in Example 1.2 to illustrate how to calculate the walk dimension.

3.1. **Dirichlet form.** By using $(\Delta - Y)$-transforms (e.g.[7] or [17]), we can construct standard Dirichlet forms.

On $\left\{K_1, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^3\right\}$, define

$$\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(u) := \lim_{m\to\infty} \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^m \sum_{x\underset{m}{\sim} y} (u(x) - u(y))^2$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(1)} := \{u \in C(K_1) : \mathcal{E}^{(1)}(u) < \infty\}.$$

On $\left\{K_2, \{G_j\}_{i=1}^{27}\right\}$, define

$$\mathcal{E}^{(2)}(u) := \lim_{m\to\infty} \left(\frac{295}{63}\right)^m \sum_{x\underset{m}{\sim} y} (u(x) - u(y))^2$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2)} := \{u \in C(K_2) : \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(u) < \infty\}.$$

We denote the resistance scaling constants in these Dirichlet forms by

$$r_1^{-1} = \frac{5}{3},\ r_2^{-1} = \frac{295}{63},$$

and we set

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{\log(5/3)}{\log 2}, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{\log(295/63)}{\log 8}.$$

Similarly, for K_3 and K_4, the $(\Delta - Y)$-transform gives us

$$r_3^{-1} = r_4^{-1} = r_1^{-1} \cdot r_2^{-1} = \frac{1475}{189},$$

thus for this two sets, the standard Dirichlet forms have the same expression. Let

$$\gamma_3 = \gamma_4 = \frac{\log(1475/189)}{\log 16}.$$

3.2. **Heat kernel estimates.** Using standard ways (e.g.[1],[8]),for instance, first deducing Nash-type inequality to obtain the existence and on-diagonal upper bound of the heat kernel, and together with the estimation of the exist time, one can obtain the off-diagonal upper bound; Using the upper bound to get a near-diagonal lower bound, and together with a chain argument(in all of our examples, the Euclidean metric satisfies the *chain condition*[5]), one can obtain the off-diagonal lower bound. We list the two-sided estimates of heat kernels associated with these Dirichlet forms as follows. Let $p_t^i(x, y)$ be the heat kernel of $(\mathcal{E}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F}^{(i)})$ on $L^2(K_i, \mu)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then we have

$$p_t^i(x, y) \asymp \frac{C}{t^{\alpha/\beta_i}} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{d(x, y)}{t^{1/\beta_i}}\right)^{\beta_i/(\beta_i-1)}\right) \tag{3.14}$$

where $\beta_i = \alpha + \gamma_i$.

These heat kernels are with exponential decay and satisfy the conditions in [5, Theorem 4.6], thus $\beta_i = \beta_i^*$ by Theorem 3.1. Hence, by Theorem 1.1, we see that any two of K_1, K_2, K_3 and K_4 are not Lipschitz equivalent, except the pair K_3 and K_4.

References

[1] M. Barlow, *Diffusions on fractals*, vol. **1690** of Lect. Notes Math., Springer, 1998, pp. 1–121.

[2] G. David and S. Semmes, *Fractured fractals and broken dreams : self-similar geometry through metric and measure*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1997.

[3] K. J. Falconer and D. T. Marsh, *On the Lipschitz equivalence of Cantor sets*, Mathematika, **39** (1992), 223–233.

[4] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry, Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1990.

[5] A. Grigor'yan, J. Hu, and K.-S. Lau, *Heat kernels on metric-measure spaces and an application to semilinear elliptic equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **355** (2003), pp. 2065–2095.

[6] A. Jonsson and H. Wallin, *Function spaces on subsets of R^n*, Math. Reports Vol. **2**, Acad. Publ., Harwood, 1984.

[7] J. Kigami, *Analysis on Fractals*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2001.

[8] T. Kumagai, *Estimates of the transition densities for Brownian motion on nested fractals*, Probab. Theory and Related Fields, **96** (1993), pp. 205–224.

[9] J. J. Luo and K. S. Lau, *Lipschitz equivalence of self-similar sets and hyperbolic boundaries*, Adv. Math., **235** (2013), 555–579.

[10] H. Rao, H. J. Ruan, and Y. Wang, *Lipschitz equivalence of Cantor sets and algebraic properties of contraction ratios*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **364** (2012), 1109-1126.

[11] H. Rao, H. J. Ruan and Y. Wang, *Lipschitz equivalence of self-similar sets: algebraic and geometric properites*, Contemp. Math., **600** (2013).

[12] H. Rao, H. J. Ruan and L. F. Xi, *Lipschitz equivalence of self-similar sets*, C. R. Acad. Math. Sci. Paris., **342** (2006), 191–196.

[13] H. Rao and Y. Zhang, *Higher dimensional Frobenius problem: Maximal saturated cone, growth function and rigidity, J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **104** (2015), 868-881.

[14] H. Rao and Y. J. Zhu, *Lipschitz equivalence of fractal squares which are not totally disconnected*, Preprint 2016.

[15] H. J. Ruan and Y. Wang, *Topological invariants and Lipschitz equivalence of fractal squares*, Preprint 2015.

[16] H. J. Ruan, Y. Wang, L. F. Xi, *Lipschitz equivalence of self-similar sets with touching structures*, Nonlinearity, **27** (2014), 1299–1321.

[17] R. Strichartz, *Differential equations on fractals: a tutorial*, Princeton University Press, 2006.

[18] G. T. Whyburn, *Topological characterization of the Sierpinski curve*, Fund. Math., **45** (1958), 320–324.

[19] L. F. Xi and Y. Xiong, *Self-similar sets with initial cubic patterns*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **348** (2010), 15-20.

[20] L. F. Xi and Y. Xiong, *Lipschitz equivalence of fractals generated by nested cubes*, Math Z., **271** (2012), 1287–1308.

Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
E-mail address: 001gqs@163.com

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China
E-mail address: hrao@mail.ccnu.edu.cn